

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/755,812	01/12/2004	Hao Xue	555255012684	3131
75	590 10/13/2005	EXAMINER		
David B. Cocl	nran, Esq.	RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR		
JONES DAY	1 Lakeside Ave		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH		2643	TALER NOMBER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/755,812	XUE ET AL.				
		Ī	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2643					
<i> Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commun ply	ication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST IS LONGER IN THE MOST IN THE MOST IS LONGER IN THE MOST	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS COMMUNIC. (a). In no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ause the application to become ABA	ATION. Oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) file	nd on 12 lan	wany 2004					
· <u> </u>	•		·					
	·							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	·			,				
		nnlication						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	m(s) is/are allowed.		THOM SOMOTON CHOTH					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	m(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.							
·	m(s) is/are objected to.							
	m(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election requirement					
			· ·					
Application P								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	specification is objected to by the							
	drawing(s) filed on is/are:	•	• • •		•			
	cant may not request that any object							
	acement drawing sheet(s) including							
11)∐ The (path or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	miner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	owledgment is made of a claim t b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.	Certified copies of the priority	documents l	have been received.					
2.	Certified copies of the priority	documents l	have been received in Ap	plication No	•			
	Copies of the certified copies of				l Stage			
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-			
* See th	e attached detailed Office action	n for a list of	the certified copies not re	eceived.				
			•					
Attachment(s)								
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Su					
	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P			Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152\			
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I VMail Date <u>8-23-04/1-27-05</u> .	P10/58/08)	6) Other:		U-132)			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 11-19, 20, 21-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bridges et al. (WO 99/45723, hereinafter Bridges) in view of Guilford et al. (US 2002/0087674 A1, hereinafter Guilford).

Regarding claim 1, Bridges discloses a method of providing mobile data devices in a wireless system with information about preferred networks to connect to, the mobile data device having a preferred roaming list with a list of networks, the method comprising: including information in the preferred roaming list about data capabilities of each network, and determining preferred networks based on the information within the preferred roaming list a geographic area and the data capabilities of the network (page 4, line 24 – page 8, line 24; page 11, line 13 – line 16; page 16, line 19 – page 20, line 21; figs 2A, 2B, 2C, 4).

Regarding claim 11, Bridges discloses a method of providing mobile data devices in a wireless system with information about preferred networks to connect to, the mobile data device having a preferred roaming list with a list of networks, the method comprising: including information in the preferred roaming list about whether each network in the of network supports required service capabilities, and determining preferred networks based on the information within the preferred roaming list including a

Art Unit: 2643

geographic area whether the network supports required service capabilities, whereby within the geographic area, the mobile device prefers those of the networks which support required service capabilities over the which do not support the required service capabilities (page 4, line 24 – page 8, line 24; page 11, line 13 – line 16; page 16, line 19 – page 20, line 21; figs 2A, 2B, 2C, 4).

Regarding claim 20, Bridges discloses a method of providing mobile data devices in a wireless system with information about preferred networks to connect to, the mobile data device having a preferred roaming list with a list of networks, the method comprising: including preferred roaming list information about whether each of the networks in the networks supports required service capabilities, including preferred roaming list information about whether each of the network in the list supports roaming (page 4, line 24 – page 8, line 24; page 11, line 13 – line 16; page 16, line 19 – page 20, line 21; figs 2A, 2B, 2C, 4).

Regarding claim 21, Bridges discloses a system for providing a mobile data device in a wireless system with information about preferred networks to connect to from a list of networks, the system comprising: the mobile data device (68, fig. 2A), the mobile data device being capable of connecting to some or all of networks within the list of networks, and preferred roaming list within the mobile data device, the preferred roaming list including information for each network within the list of networks as shown in tables 1-4, geographic information for each network within the list of networks, information about each network within the list of networks as shown supports data capability whereby mobile data device chooses a preferred network

Art Unit: 2643

based on the geographic information and those of the networks within the list of networks that support data capability (page 4, line 24 – page 8, line 24; page 11, line 13 – line 16; page 16, line 19 – page 20, line 21; figs 2A, 2B, 2C, 4).

Bridges differs from claims 1,2-4, 5, 6, 10, 11-15, 19, 20, 21-26 in that he does not explicitly teach the following: each of the networks in the list of networks support: third generation data capabilities and determining step prefers those of the networks that support third generation data capabilities over those which do not support third generation data capabilities, data roaming and determining step prefers those of the networks that support data roaming over those of the networks which do not support data roaming, mobile IP service and the determining step prefers those of the networks that support mobile IP services over those of the networks which do not support mobile IP service, always-on feature and the determining step prefers those of the networks that support the always-on feature to those of the networks which do not support the always on feature, information in the preferred roaming list about service capability of the mobile data device, and restricting service requests from the mobile data device based on the data service capability of the device, capability information includes whether device supports a browser.

However, Guilford discloses intelligent network selection based on quality of service and applications over different wireless networks which teaches the following: each of the networks in the list of networks support: third generation data capabilities and determining step prefers those of the networks that support third generation data capabilities over those which do not support third generation data capabilities, data

roaming and determining step prefers those of the networks that support data roaming over those of the networks which do not support data roaming, mobile IP service and the determining step prefers those of the networks that support mobile IP services over those of the networks which do not support mobile IP service, always-on feature and the determining step prefers those of the networks that support the always-on feature to those of the networks which do not support the always on feature, information in the preferred roaming list about service capability of the mobile data device, and restricting service requests from the mobile data device based on the data service capability of the device, capability information includes whether device supports a browser (paragraphs: 7-16; 21-23; 27-28; 45; 51-62; 66-67; 72-73; 79; figs. 2, 4, 7a).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Bridges' system to provide for the following: each of the networks in the list of networks support: third generation data capabilities and determining step prefers those of the networks that support third generation data capabilities over those which do not support third generation data capabilities, data roaming and determining step prefers those of the networks that support data roaming over those of the networks which do not support data roaming, mobile IP service and the determining step prefers those of the networks that support mobile IP services over those of the networks which do not support mobile IP service, always-on feature and the determining step prefers those of the networks that support the always-on feature to those of the networks which do not support the always on feature, information in the preferred roaming list about service capability of the mobile data device, and restricting

service requests from the mobile data device based on the data service capability of the device, capability information includes whether device supports a browser as this arrangement would provide the user to select required service based on his needs as taught by Guilford, thus providing means to meet user needs.

Regarding claims 7-9, 16-18, Bridges teaches the following: service capability information includes whether the device supports: data service, voice service, supports SMS service (Table 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Guilford.

Regarding claim 27-28, Guilford discloses a method for a mobile device to acquire a system comprising the steps of: waiting until a new system needs to be acquired, starting a search for a new system, when a new system is acquired, checking the new system against a PRL table to see if the new system supports third generation data capabilities, if the new system supports third generation data capabilities, if the new system does not support third generation capabilities, checking whether any system supports third generation data capabilities, and if so performing the starting and

checking steps again, and if the new system does support third generation data capabilities, acquiring the new system, determining whether the new system is the best system if the new system supports third generation data capabilities, and if yes, acquiring the new system, and if no, performing the starting and checking steps again (paragraphs: 7-16; 21-23; 27-28, 51-62; 66-67; 72-73; 70; figs. 2, 4, 7).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Melur Ramakrishnaiah **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2643